

Mark L. Smith (#14762)
Jacob L. Fannesbeck (#11961)
SMITH WASHBURN, LLP
6871 Eastern Avenue., Suite 101
Las Vegas, NV 89119
Telephone: (725) 666-8700
Facsimile: (725) 666-8710
msmith@smithwashburn.com
jfannesbeck@smithwashburn.com
*Attorneys for Reflex Media, Inc. and
Clover8 Investments PTE. LTD.*

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

REFLEX MEDIA INC., a Nevada
Corporation, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RICHMEETBEAUTIFUL HOLDING
LTD., a Maltese corporation d/b/a
RichMeetBeautiful.com, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:18-cv-01476-APG-EJY

**JOINT STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER TO STAY DISCOVERY
PENDING THE COURT'S DECISION ON
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS**

(First Request)

Plaintiffs Reflex Media, Inc. ("Reflex") and Clover8 Investments PTE. LTD. ("Clover8," and together with Reflex, "RMI"), and Defendants RichMeetBeautiful Holding LTD., d/b/a RichMeetBeautiful.com ("RichMeetBeautiful"); Digisec Media Limited ("Digisec"), and Sigurd Vedal's (Vedal, and together with RichMeetBeautiful and Digisec, the "RMB Defendants"), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby respectfully stipulate to stay all discovery in this action pending the Court's decision on the RMB Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint (ECF No. 37), as set forth below:

WHEREAS, on June 20, 2019, the RMB Defendants filed their Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint (ECF No. 37) ("Motion to Dismiss"), which has not yet been decided or set for hearing by this Court.

1 WHEREAS the pending Motion to Dismiss includes issues of personal jurisdiction that,
2 once resolved, could require serial rather than simultaneous discovery exchanges on all parties.
3 In the context of a pending motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction, “courts are more
4 inclined to stay discovery because it presents a critical preliminary question.” *Hologram USA,*
5 *Inc. v. Pulse Evolution Corp.*, No. 2:14-CV-00772-GMN, 2015 WL 1600768, at *1 (D. Nev. Apr.
6 8, 2015) (quotations and citations omitted).

7 WHEREAS to avoid potentially inefficient discovery, the parties have met and conferred
8 and agree that a stay of discovery pending the Court’s decision on RMB Defendants’ Motion to
9 Dismiss Amended Complaint is appropriate and will conserve Judicial and party resources. Once
10 the Motion to Dismiss is resolved, the parties will meet and confer and submit a Joint Proposed
11 Discovery Plan.

12 WHEREAS this is the first stipulation to stay discovery.

13 THEREFORE, THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND PROPOSE THE
14 FOLLOWING ORDER:

15 All discovery in this action shall be stayed pending the Court’s decision on the RMB
16 Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint (ECF No. 37).

17 Once the Motion to Dismiss is resolved, the parties will meet and confer and submit a Joint
18 Proposed Discovery Plan. Respectfully submitted,

19 DATED: March 6, 2020

SMITH WASHBURN, LLP

20 /s/ Mark L. Smith
21 Mark L. Smith
22 6871 Eastern Avenue., Suite 101
23 Las Vegas, NV 89119
24 Telephone: (725) 666-8701
25 Facsimile: (725) 666-8710
26 msmith@smithwashburn.com

27 ///

28 ///

1 DATED: March 6, 2020

LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP

2 /s/ Meng Zhong

3 Michael J. McCue

4 Meng Zhong

5 3993 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Suite 600

6 Las Vegas, NV 89169

7 Telephone: (702) 949-8200

8 mmccue@lrrc.com

9 mzhong@lrrc.com

10 *Attorneys for Defendants Richmeetbeautiful*
11 *Holding Ltd. Dba RichMeetBeautiful.com,*
12 *Digisec Media Limited, and Sigurd Vedal*

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14 

15 ELAYNA J. YOUCHAK,
16 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

17 DATED: March 9, 2020